East Pikeland Police Department (EPPD) 1158 Rapps Dam Road Phoenixville, PA 19460

Subject: Criminal Harassment/Extortion

Attn: Chief Michelle Major

Dear Chief Major,

Respectfully, the decisions and actions under your leadership would benefit from oversight and scrutiny. At this point, continued silence from you and others within your department requires me to seek alternate solutions to resolve criminal charges against those who have harmed my family and many others. I accept that you and the Board of Supervisors are not willing to engage in conversation to resolve these harms and I am merely going to provide a summary "Affidavit of Facts" regarding my involvement with EPPD after reporting crimes against myself and my family. These crimes were also committed against others outside of your jurisdiction in which your department had custody of evidence. If McPhail had truly been denied, that evidence should have immediately been returned to the reporting party. I allege that has never happened and never discussed with the reporting party and the status of that evidence remains unknown. It is possible that the evidence broke the chain of custody and was given to an investigatory authority which would be in direct conflict to conduct an investigation.

I intend to hand deliver this document to you and the Board of Supervisors on 1/6/2025. If my hand service is not accepted I will arrange for service via certified mail.

I will allow you 7 days to respond to provide any **correction** to my claims. If I do not receive a response in 7 days, my Affidavit of Facts remains factually true as presented.

Regard,

J. Ryan Miller

## Statement of Facts:

- Mr. Miller reported harassment via communications through the United States Postal Service in May of 2023.
- Corporal Dylan Weaver personally retrieved documents in my neighborhood and met with me in the station to open documents on the record.
- Mr. Miller conducted an in station interview with Officer Paris and Officer Corbo. Mr. Miller submitted a RTKL request for a copy of that interview video and was denied access to my own report.
- Volumes of additional evidence had been provided to many individuals within EPPD (Officer Paris, Corporal Weaver, then Detective Juisti).
- Lt. Heyman admitted to receiving identical communications to him directly. Mr. Miller alleges that no record exists of Lt. Heymen's evidence. It is Mr. Millers understanding that no report (or supplemental) is in the record related to the investigation of crimes against me, authored by Lt. Heymen.
- Detective Juisti reported to the Chester County District Attorney's Office that EPPD was involved in an investigation of witness intimidation, criminal harassment and extortion around the January of 2023 time frame.
- Detective Juisti was informed and granted McPhail authority by the DA's (allegedly Innessa Daniels) office to permit cross jurisdiction investigation. Shortly after that action, Detective Juisti was put on Administrative Leave.
- EPPD was not proactive in communicating to me as a victim, as harassment continued the status of the investigation. Mr. Miller was not notified of a replacement investigator during Detective Juisti's period of leave. All action to obtain information was initiated by me.
- In February (Detective Juisti was still on administrative leave), EPPD arranged to have Corporal Weaver go to the Chester County Courthouse to inform the District Attorney of an ongoing criminal investigation and delivered a written document. Corporal Weaver is a direct witness to unlawful conduct by Mr. Miller's legal counsel in public and chose to not intervene.
- In March, (Detective Juisti was still on administrative leave), EPPD arranged to have Officer Paris go to the Chester County Courthouse to inform the District Attorney of an ongoing criminal investigation. Officer Paris is a direct witness of unlawful conduct by Mr. Miller's legal counsel in public.
- During Officer Paris's visit to the Courthouse, Mr. Miller presented additional evidence (in a secure evidence bag, in front of my counsel). This evidence may not have ever been documented in a supplemental report or added as investigation evidence.
- Officer Paris also was witness to threats and intimidation by Mr. Miller's counsel in public and chose to advocate for Mr Miller to de-escalate my legal counsel's aggressive posture.
- During Officer Juisti's administrative leave, Mr. Miller received conflicting information from EPPD police officers on the claim that the DA's office "denied" McPhail authority. Officer Paris claimed that Andrea Cardimone directly denied McPhail. Officer Corbo

claimed it was Innesa Daniels. Mr. Miller alleges that neither Officer Paris nor Officer Corbo provided any report confirming who denied authorization of McPhail, whereas previously (Prior to Officer Juisti being put on leave) Officer Juisti claimed it was **granted** by Innesa Daniels.

- EPPD reported to Mr. Miller that Detective Juisti was on leave due to a family matter, then a medical issue, upon his return Detective Juisti confirmed he was not out for Medical, Family or Disciplinary leave. I asked specifically EPPD if leave was disciplinary and EPPD stated no.
- Detective Juisti did return from administrative leave and Mr. Miller had a joint meeting with Officer Paris and no longer Detective Juisti but now Officer Juisti. This meeting provided clarification as to which officer would be investigating aspects of crimes against me.
- Officer Paris stated that he would be investigating suspect Kim Zimmerman. Mr. Miller was never informed by Officer Paris that he ever spoke to Kim Zimmerman, or requested her to submit fingerprints to eliminate her as a suspect from participating in Mail Fraud.
- Officer Juisti was focusing on the individual Brian P. Fox.
- Mr. Miller and victims Anthony and Melissa Falgiatore, provided volumes of evidence to Officer Juisti.
- Officer Juisti claimed to have had an interview (in station) with Brian P. Fox (December 2023), which confirmed that Brian P. Fox was a suspect and Officer Juisti claimed to inform Brian P. Fox to cease communication to the Falgiatores and the Octorara School District Board regarding Anthony Falgiatore. Mr. Brian P. Fox ignored the warning with no consequence or follow up interview. (Mr. Miller and Mr. Falgiatore provided multiple Cease and Desist communications to Mr. Brian P. Fox).
- Mr. Miller and the Falgiatore's continued to be victims of online harassment through a website identified as <u>www.CulturalContrarian.org</u> which a search warrant allegedly confirmed to be owned by Brian P. Fox.
- EPPD would not produce the resultant warrant to Mr. Miller or Mr. Miller's legal counsel even though the website hosted volumes of identified stolen Copyright Protected information where Mr. Miller is the Copyright owner and holder.
- Shortly after requesting a private meeting, Chief Major agreed to take responsibility (around June of 2024) to personally retrieve evidence in the custody of Chief Yeager of East Whitland Police Department. According to the East Whiteland Police Department, nobody from EPPD ever requested to obtain evidence until Mr. Miller informed Officer Juisti in September of 2024.
- Mr. Miller's counsel made a statement on the record during his legal proceedings. Mr. Miller's counsel (privately, not in court) attributed the information stated on the court record as having come directly from Officer Paris. The statement became part of the court record and several requests to correct the record have not been confirmed as being rectified.
- Mr. Miller alleges that no record exists of Officer Paris conversing with Mr. Lawrence Harmlin regarding Mr Miller.
- Officer Juisti identified and informed me around June of 2023 of (4) criminal charges with a volume of counts attributed to Brian P. Fox.

- Mr. Miller alleges that when EPPD identified Brian P. Fox as the owner of the website <u>www.culturalcontrarian.org</u> that no record preservation request was documented with the hosting provider.
- Officer Juisti stated that Chester County ADA's office (Leslie Pike) denied a search warrant for Brian P. Fox's electronics with the instructions for Officer Juisti to file a charging document.
- Mr. Miller alleges that Officer Juisti never submitted a charging document and was either obstructed from doing so by the DA's Office or EPPD leadership.
- EPPD has taken no proactive steps to investigate suspects through any interview process [exceptions: Officer Juisti's single conversation with Brian Fox, Officer Paris allegedly speaking with Shannon Grady, Officer Juisti responding to a call from Jay Lusby (Octorara School Board Vice President)].
- EPPD notified Mr. Miller in November of 2024, that the case is not closed. That is a false statement as the case has been handed over to the Pennsylvania State Police. EPPD indicated that Mr. Miller can report additional evidence.
- EPPD found that no crimes rose to the level that criminal charges could be presented to the DA.
- Since EPPD determined that no Crimes had been committed, additional evidence would not change the status of the investigation, new evidence of different crimes would be required. Indicating that the case remains open to be a misrepresentation to Mr. Miller.
- EPPD determined that search warrants were not required to further identify the source behind mail fraud and extortion.
- EPPD has no interest in continuing an investigation based upon more evidence (the same type of previous evidence). EPPD logically would only actually investigate evidence of a new or different crime (trespass, threats of violence, battery, assault, etc.). [I still remain alarmed that Extortion is not a crime worthy of an interview (series of interviews), fingerprints, or electronics warrant.]
- The Board of Supervisors have been silent regarding communicating with Mr. Miller about providing oversight.
- Mr. Miller has had no communication from EPPD leadership or East Pikeland Township Manager or Board of Supervisors.
- Mr. Miller has had no communication or update confirming an open investigation or status update of investigation November 22, 2024.

This is merely a brief summary of events and I affirm the above statements to be factually true under grounds of perjury.

DATE:\_\_\_\_\_

Name Print

Name Signature